THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.13352 of 2007

Date:26.06.2007

Between:

M/s.Defence Personnel Coop House Building Society Limited.

.. PETITIONER

AND

The Government of A.P. and others.

..RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

The petitioner seeks a writ of certiorari to quash the sale deed, dated 23.07.2002, in relation to an extent of Ac.1.00 of land in Sy.No.1256 of Shamirpet, Ranga Reddy District.

The petitioner states that Sri Akula Bakkaiah was the owner of Acs.9.00 of land, in Sy.Nos.1255 and 1256 of Shamirpet Village, Ranga Reddy District and he sold the entire land to the fourth respondent, through a sale deed, dated 17.10.1989, registered as document No.7787/1989. The petitioner-society, in turn, is said to have purchased Acs.3.00 of land, in Sy.No.1256 from the fourth respondent, through a sale deed, dated 06.12.1990, registered as document No.16289/1990. It is alleged that the third respondent executed a sale deed in favour of the second respondent on 23.07.2002, in respect of Ac.1.00 of land in Sy.No.1256 and that on the strength of this sale deed, the second respondent is trying to invade the rights of the members of the petitioner-society. The petitioner alleges that the third respondent brought into existence a fabricated sale deed, dated 23.07.2002, as though it was executed by the fourth respondent.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

Even assuming that the facts stated by the petitioner in the affidavit are true, this Court cannot entertain the writ petition and grant the relief claimed in it. In case the petitioner is apprehending any threat from the second respondent, it has to file a suit for injunction. On the other hand, if a necessity exists as to the declaration of the rights of the parties vis-à-vis the land, the suit for declaration has to be filed. This Court cannot adjudicate upon the validity or otherwise of a sale deed or the entitlement of the parties there to.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed, leaving it open to the petitioner to work out its remedies in a civil Court. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

26.06.2007

kdl